Double C–H Activation Strategy for the Asymmetric Synthesis of *C*₂-Symmetric Anilines

ORGANIC LETTERS 2004 Vol. 6, No. 11 1769–1772

Huw M. L. Davies* and Qihui Jin

Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260-3000

hdavies@acsu.buffalo.edu

Received March 10, 2004

ABSTRACT



 $Rh_2(S-DOSP)_4$ -catalyzed C-H activation to N,N-dimethylanilines is described. A double C-H activation was possible by using an excess of methyl aryldiazoacetate, and this provided a very direct approach to C_2 -symmetric anilines.

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in developing practical methods for achieving C–H activation.^{1,2} Such methods would offer exciting new strategies for the construction of complex synthetic targets. We have recently shown in a series of papers that intermolecular C–H insertion by rhodium-carbenoids represents a general method for asymmetric C–H activation.³ This approach can be considered as a surrogate to some of the classic reactions of organic synthesis such as the aldol reaction,⁴ the Mannich reaction,⁵ the Michael reaction,⁶ and the Claisen rearrange-

10.1021/ol0495467 CCC: \$27.50 © 2004 American Chemical Society Published on Web 04/29/2004

ment.⁷ In this paper, we describe the application of this chemistry to the asymmetric synthesis of C_2 -symmetric anilines (Scheme 1).



Intermolecular C–H insertion by rhodium carbenoids derived from ethyl diazoacetate was demonstrated to be a feasible reaction 30 years ago.⁸ On the basis of these early

⁽¹⁾ For reviews on other methods for C-H activation, see: (a) Shilov, A. E.; Shul'pin, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 2879. (b) Dyker, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, 28, 1698. (c) Arndsten, B. A.; Bergman, R. G. *Science* **1995**, *270*, 1970. (d) Jia, C.; Kitamura, T.; Fujiwara, Y. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 633. (e) Ritleng, V.; Sirlin, C.; Pfeffer, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 1731.

⁽²⁾ For recent representative examples of C-H activation, see: (a) Chen,
H.; Schlecht, S.; Semple, T. C.; Hartwig, J. F. Science 2000, 287, 1995.
(b) Waltz, K. M.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11358. (c) Johnson, J. A.; Li, N.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6900. (d) Dangel, B. D.; Godula, K.; Youn, S. W.; Sezen, B.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11856. (e) Karig, G.; Moon, M.-T.; Thasana, N.; Gallagher, T. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3115. (f) Saaby, S.; Bayon, P.; Aburel, P. S.; Jorgensen, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4352. (g) Tan, K. L.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1378.

⁽³⁾ For recent reviews, see: (a) Davies, H. M. L.; Antoulinakis, E. G. J. Organomet. Chem. **2001**, 617–618, 45. (b) Davies, H. M. L. J. Mol. Catal. A **2002**, 189, 125.

^{(4) (}a) Davies, H. M. L.; Antoulinakis, E. G.; Hansen, T. Org. Lett. **1999**, *1*, 383. (b) Davies, H. M. L.; Antoulinakis, E. G. Org. Lett. **2000**, *2*, 4153.

^{(5) (}a) Davies, H. M. L.; Hansen, T.; Hopper, D.; Panaro, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, *121*, 6509. (b) Davies, H. M. L.; Venkataramani, C. Org. Lett. **2001**, *3*, 1773. (c) Davies, H. M. L.; Venkataramani, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2002**, *41*, 2197.

⁽⁶⁾ Davies, H. M. L.; Ren, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2071.

studies, however, the reaction was not considered to be of significant synthetic utility because the carbenoids displayed poor chemoselectivity.⁹ The breakthrough in this chemistry came about with the development of donor/acceptorsubstituted carbenoids because these carbenoids are much more chemoselective than the traditional carbenoids lacking the donor group.10 Classic examples of donor/acceptorsubstituted carbenoids are those derived from aryldiazoacetates 1, which undergo highly enantioselective reactions when $Rh_2(S-DOSP)_4$ (2) is used as catalyst (Figure 1).³ A delicate balance of electronic and steric effects controls the remarkable chemoselectivity displayed by these carbenoids. C-H insertion is preferred at sites that stabilize positive charge buildup on the carbon.³ The rhodium-carbenoid, however, is sterically very demanding, and steric issues often dominate over the electronic preference.



The initial discovery that led to this current paper arose during studies on benzylic C-H activation.^{11,12} A Hammett study had shown that electron-donating substituents in the aromatic ring strongly enhance the C–H activation chemistry at the benzylic position.¹² A very impressive example is the $Rh_2(S-DOSP)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of *p*-methylanisole (3) with methyl p-bromophenyldiazoacetate (4), which results in the formation of 5 in 73% yield and 80% ee (Scheme 2).¹¹ On the basis of this result, it was anticipated that N,N-dimethylp-toluidine (6a) would be an even better substrate for benzylic C-H activation. Indeed, 6a undergoes a very clean C-H activation with 4, but unexpectedly, the product that is formed is the regioisomer 7a (67% yield, 53% ee), arising from C-H insertion into the N-methyl group.¹³ Intrigued by the efficiency of the formation of 7a, we have undertaken a systematic study on the C-H activation chemistry of N,Ndimethylanilines.

We have previously demonstrated that electron-rich aromatic rings are not susceptible to electrophilic substitution



reactions with donor/acceptor-substituted carbenoids if the aromatic ring is sterically encumbered (at least 1,4-disubstituted).^{11,12} 3,5-Dimethyl-*N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (**8**) would be expected to be a good substrate for C–H activation because it should be too sterically crowded for electrophilic aromatic substitution. As predicted, the Rh₂(*S*-DOSP)₄-catalyzed reaction of **8** with **4** was very efficient, resulting in the formation of the C–H insertion product **9** in 67% yield and 64% ee (Scheme 3).



To explore more thoroughly the effect of steric hindrance of the aromatic ring toward electrophilic substitution, the reaction of *N*,*N*-dimethyl-*m*-toluidine (**10**) was examined. The Rh₂(*S*-DOSP)₄-catalyzed reaction of **10** with **4** gave a 2:3 mixture of the C–H insertion product **11** and the electrophilic substitution product **12** in a combined yield of 60% (Scheme 4). **11** was produced in 64% ee, while **12** was essentially racemic. The ratio of the products is very dependent on the catalyst used because the same reaction catalyzed by rhodium octanoate gave a 4:1 mixture of **11** and **12** (30% combined yield).

N,*N*-Dimethylaniline (13) is not sterically protected from electrophilic substitution, and not surprisingly, this is the dominant reaction between 13 and 4 (Scheme 5). Even so, some of the C-H activation product 14 is also formed and the ratio of 14 and the electrophilic substitution product 15 is very dependent on the catalyst and solvent. Electron-deficient catalysts enhance electrophilic substitution over

⁽⁷⁾ Davies, H. M. L.; Ren, P.; Jin, Q. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3587.

^{(8) (}a) Paulissen, R.; Reimlinger, H.; Hayez, E.; Hubert, A. J.; Teyssie, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973**, *14*, 2233. (b) Demonceau, A.; Noels, A. F.; Hubert, A. J.; Teyssie, P. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 688. (c) Demonceau, A.; Noels, A. F.; Hubert, A. J.; Teyssie, P. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg.* **1984**, *93*, 945. (d) Demonceau, A.; Noels, A. F.; Hubert, A. J.; Teyssie, P. J. Mol. Catal. **1988**, *49*, L13.

⁽⁹⁾ Doyle, M. P.; McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. In *Modern Catalytic Methods* for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1998; pp 112–162.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Davies, H. M. L.; Hodges, L. M.; Matasi, J. J.; Hansen, T.; Stafford, D. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 4417.

⁽¹¹⁾ Davies, H. M. L.; Jin, Q. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2003, *14*, 941.
(12) Davies, H. M. L.; Jin, Q.; Ren, P.; Kovalevsky, A. Y. J. Org. Chem.
2002, 67, 4165.

⁽¹³⁾ Stereochemical assignment for **7**, **9**, **11**, **14**, **18**, and **20** is derived from the predictive model for C–H activation catalyzed by $Rh_2(S$ -DOSP)_4. See ref 3 for details.



C-H activation, and so does the use of dichloromethane instead of a hydrocarbon solvent. The optimum conditions for the formation of the electrophilic substitution product **15** are $Rh_2(S$ -DOSP)₄ as the catalyst and dichloromethane as the solvent.



Over the years we have described several examples of how the product outcome is dependent on subtle solvent and catalyst effects.¹⁴ Hydrocarbon solvents and electron-rich catalysts favor reactions occurring through relatively uncharged intermediates/transition states, while polar solvents and electron-deficient catalysts favor reactions occurring via zwitterionic intermediates. The catalyst and solvent effects observed here are consistent with this interpretation because the C–H activation is considered to involve a concerted nonsynchronous transition state,³ as represented by **16**, and the aromatic substitution occurs via the zwitterionic intermediate **17** (Figure 2).¹⁵ Presumably, the rhodium in **17** is released prior to the proton transfer, as this would explain why the electrophilic substitution products are formed without asymmetric induction.

The reaction can be extended to a range of p-substituted N,N-dimethylanilines **6**, and the results are summarized in Table 1. The reaction is fairly uniform over a range of



substrates, giving the C-H activation products **7** in 51–72% yield and 53–71% ee. A most notable example is the reaction with the *p*-(silyloxymethylene) derivative **6f** because C-H activation cleanly occurs at the *N*-methyl sites in favor of the electonically very activated benzylic position.



| | N R 6 | 4 (0.5 equiv) Rh ₂ (S-DOSP) ₄ (1 mol %) rt | | O₂Me ∬ Br |
|---|----------------------|---|----------|-----------------|
| | R | | yield, % | ee, % |
| а | Me | | 67 | 53 |
| b | <i>t</i> -Bu | | 60 | 58 |
| С | F | | 70 | 58 |
| d | Br | | 72 | 56 |
| е | CO ₂ Me | | 51 | 71 |
| f | CH ₂ OTBS | | 70 | 61 |

The high reactivity of the aniline *N*-methyl group toward C–H activation was a promising indication that *N*,*N*-dimethylanilines might be susceptible to double C–H activation. We had previously reported that *N*-Boc-pyrrolidines were capable of double C–H activation, but 6 equiv of diazo compound and elevated temperatures were required to achieve such a transformation.^{5a} The double C–H activation of 3,5-dimethyl *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (**8**) was readily achieved by simply altering the stoichiometry of the reaction by using 2.2 equiv of the diazo compound and 2 mol % of the catalyst. Under these conditions, a 2.4:1 mixture of the *C*₂-symmetric product **18** and the meso diastereomer **19** was formed, from which **18** was obtained in 57% yield (Scheme 6). Gratifyingly, the *C*₂-symmetric product **18** was formed in 95% ee,



^{(14) (}a) Davies, H. M. L.; Saikali, E.; Clark, T. J.; Chee, E. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 6299. (b) Davies, H. M. L.; Clark, T. J.; Kimmer, G. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 6440. (c) Davies, H. M. L.; Clark, T. J. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 9883.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Davies, H. M. L.; Smith, H. D.; Hu, B.; Klenzak, S. M.; Hegner, F. J. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, *57*, 6900.



| N R | 4 (2-3 equiv) Rh ₂ (S-DOSP) ₄ (2 mol %) rt | MeO ₂ C | | CO ₂ Me Br |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | |
| substrate | R | product | yield, % | ee, % |
| substrate | R | product | yield, % | ee, % |
| 6a ^a | Me | 20a | 41 | 91 |
| substrate | R | product | yield, % | ee, % |
| 6a ^a | Me | 20a | 41 | 91 |
| 6b ^b | <i>t</i> -Bu | 20b | 55 | 91 |
| substrate 6a ^a 6b ^b 6c ^b | R | product | yield, % | ee, % |
| | Me | 20a | 41 | 91 |
| | <i>t</i> -Bu | 20b | 55 | 91 |
| | F | 20c | 60 | 89 |
| substrate | R | product | yield, % | ee, % |
| 6a ^a | Me | 20a | 41 | 91 |
| 6b ^b | t-Bu | 20b | 55 | 91 |
| 6c ^b | F | 20c | 60 | 89 |
| 6d ^b | Br | 20d | 60 | 90 |
| substrate 6a ^a 6b ^b 6c ^b 6d ^b 6e ^{b,c} | R Me t-Bu F Br CO ₂ Me | product 20a 20b 20c 20d 20d 20e | yield, % 41 55 60 60 54 | ee, % 91 91 89 90 85 |

^{*a*} Performed with 2 equiv of **4**. ^{*b*} Performed with 3 equiv of **4**. ^{*c*} Reaction was conducted at 50 °C. ^{*d*} Performed with 2.5 equiv of **4**.

which indicates that the second C-H activation occurred with the same sense of asymmetric induction as the first C-H activation. Consequently, the C_2 -symmetric product **18** is produced with much higher ee than the initial C-H activation product **9**.

The reaction can be extended to the synthesis of a series of C_2 -symmetric anilines **20** as summarized in Table 2. The asymmetric induction is uniformly high (85–92% ee). C_2 -symmetric amines have wide utility as chiral ligands in asymmetric synthesis,¹⁶ and the very rapid access to a novel

class of C_2 -symmetric amines underscores the synthetic potential of the C-H activation chemistry.

It has been generally assumed that the success of the intermolecular C–H activation chemistry is due to the unique reactivity profile of donor/acceptor-substituted carbenoids.¹⁰ To test this hypothesis, the Rh₂(*S*-DOSP)₄-catalyzed reaction of two of the traditional diazo compounds, ethyl diazoacetate and dimethyl diazomalonate, with *N*,*N*-dimethyl-*p*-toluidine (**6a**) was examined. It was anticipated that a very different product outcome would result, but in fact neither ethyl diazoacetate nor dimethyl diazomalonate was effectively decomposed by Rh₂(*S*-DOSP)₄ in the presence of **6a**.

In summary, this paper further demonstrates the remarkable chemoselectivity of the C–H activation chemistry of donor/acceptor-substituted carbenoids. N,N-Dimethylanilines are very favorable substrates when the aromatic ring is sterically protected toward electrophilic substitution. The double C–H activation of N,N-dimethylanilines represents a very direct approach for the synthesis of structurally elaborate C_2 -symmetric anilines. Further studies are in progress to determine the utility of derivatives of these C_2 -symmetric anilines as ligands for asymmetric synthesis.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-0350536).

Supporting Information Available: Experiment procedures and full characterization of all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL0495467

^{(16) (}a) Bennani, Y. L.; Hanessian, S. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 3161. (b) Whitesell, J. K. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 1581. (c) Takahata, H.; Kouno, S.; Momose, T. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1995**, *6*, 1085.